#### L-SYSTEMS generating complex recursive systems



University of Pennsylvania - CIS 700 Procedural Graphics Rachel Hwang

# DESCRIBING COMPLEXITY



- Assertion: we can produce virtually anything procedurally.
- Growth functions and noise will get us far, but not enough to produce significant systemic/ structural variation.
- Definitely possible! (<u>demo from</u> <u>fabulous TA Austin Eng</u>)
- So how do we describe complex systems?

## BREAKING IT DOWN



- What are the basic components?
- In what context do they appear?
- Like programming! Or grammar!
- eg. this tree essentially just a collection of needles and branches with regular patterning.
- Can generate fractal complexity!

2020site (<u>source</u>)

### BUT REALLY!



Romanesco "fractal" broccoli

### FORMALIZING

## L-SYSTEM GRAMMARS

#### String rewriting systems

variables : A B constants : none axiom : A rules : (A  $\rightarrow$  AB), (B  $\rightarrow$  A)

which produces:

- *n* = 0 : A
- *n* = 1 : AB
- *n* = 2 : ABA
- n = 3 : ABAAB
- n = 4 : ABAABABA
- n = 5: ABAABABAABAAB

- L-systems consist of:
  - An alphabet of symbols: our components (note that symbols can be abstract)
  - An **axiom**: initial configuration
  - A **grammar**: rules that determine what symbols appear in what contexts. Rules have
    - Preconditions
    - Postconditions

## GRAPHICAL INTERPRETATION

We can interpret symbols as rendering instructions

- **F** = draw a line, moving forward
- - = rotate(30)
- **+** = rotate(-30)
- **[** = save position
- ] = store position



pcgbook (source)

## GRAMMARS ARE POWERFUL!

#### Interactive demo here



Wolfram (source)

# INJECTINGVARIATION

So far, our systems have been deterministic, we can add variation in several ways:

I) Create multiple rules that apply in the same context with the same precondition

eg. {A → B, 50%}, {A → C, 50%}

2) Have an element of randomness in rule interpretation

eg. A = rotateX(noise(n))

For example!

# AN EXAMPLE

#### How do we generate a tree like this?



## IMPLEMENTATION

Rough suggestion for the main parser function:



## IMPLEMENTATION

Rough suggestion for rule representation:

```
class Rule {
   symbol precondition;
   std::vector<Postcondition> postconditions;
};
class Postcondition {
   float probability;
   symbol new_symbol;
}
```

## IMPLEMENTATION

Notes on the symbol representation:

- Symbols often represented as chars in a string
- However, constantly copying long strings per replacement, is inefficient
- We may also want to store additional information about a symbol, eg. the iteration it was added so we can apply a scale
- For all these reasons, linked lists are a nice solution.

## IN SUMMARY

- Like programming, we can decompose complex systems into small logical units.
- We can formalize this as a simple grammar composed of rules for replacing symbols.
- We can add variation by giving rules probability, or adding random elements into our rendering rules
  - Symbols =  $\{a, b, \dots Z\}$
  - Rules of format =  $\{A \rightarrow B, 75\%\}$
- Overall, Isystem assets are composed of two separate elements
  - The grammar
  - The rendering interpretation

### REFERENCES

- Texts
  - Algorithmic Botany, textbook treatment of I-systems
  - Another text on grammars and lsystems
  - <u>Houdini system reference</u>
- Demos
  - <u>Lsystem generator</u>
  - Another Lsystem generator

## ASSIGNMENT

- Create a linked-list structure to represent an alphabet symbol
- Create a rule format in which to encode grammar
- Create an Isystem parser to process symbols using a grammar
- Design an original grammar which generates plants with leaves or flowers of some kind.



